## 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 2 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 3 4 ROUTE CONSULTANTS, INC., a Tennessee Case No. 2:23-cv-01703-CDS-EJY corporation; Crypto Quo, LLC, a Tennessee 5 limited liability company; and BLOCKLEAF LLC, 6 **ORDER** Plaintiffs. 7 v. 8 E3 NV, LLC, a Nevada limited liability 9 company; William Murdock, an individual, 10 Defendants. 11 12 Pending before the Court is the second proposed Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling 13 Order submitted by the parties. ECF No. 12. For reasons the Court does not understand, the parties 14 seem not to grasp that they did not present an agreement to stay discovery when they filed their first 15 proposed Stipulated Discovery Plan and Scheduling Order (ECF No. 10). There was no mention, 16 let alone a discussion, of a stay of discovery in that filing. Id. In the parties instant filing, they 17 expressly state no stay of discovery is requested. ECF No. 12 at 2. The parties do seek a date for a 18 settlement conference. Id. 19 Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that the second proposed Stipulated Discovery 20 Plan and Scheduling Order (ECF No. 12) is GRANTED. 21 IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that this case will be set for a settlement conference in a 22 separate order of the Court. 23 Dated this 16th day of February, 2024. 24 25 26 UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE 27

28